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Abstract

Along with high longitudinal resolution of optical coherence tomography, confocal optical coherence microscopy (OCM) provides high transversal resolution due to relatively high numerical apertures. However, the presence of relatively high numerical apertures leads to limited depth of field, which reduces the speed of OCM and decreases the advantage of Fourier domain detection. In this paper we propose a numerical processing technique for three-dimensional image reconstruction in Fourier domain OCM. It takes into account not only the defocus of different parts of imaged volumetric sample, but also the effects of upper layers’ refractive index on imaging the sample inner structure. Besides providing sharp coherence gated imaging, this technique also allows for determining both the geometrical thickness and refractive index of the sample layers.

Introduction

Low-coherence interferometry techniques allow three-dimensional imaging of semitransparent samples, based on longitudinal coherence gating, and are widely used in biomedicine [1,2]. In low-coherence interferometry the longitudinal coherence gating effect is caused by two factors: temporal and angular spectrum gates. Conventional optical coherence tomography (OCT) utilizes wide temporal spectrum and narrow angular spectrum of the optical field. Wide temporal spectrum leads to high longitudinal resolution, while narrow angular spectrum provides large depth of field. However, this narrow angular spectrum (due to low numerical apertures (NA)) leads also to low transversal resolution. To obtain high transversal resolution, higher numerical apertures are used, which provide wider angular spectrum of the optical field. However, wide angular spectrum results in small depth of field, which is in fact narrow longitudinal angular spectrum gate. This is the case of confocal optical coherence microscopy (OCM) [3]. So, one has to choose between imaging with either large depth of field and low transversal resolution or small depth of field and high transversal resolution [3]. To overcome this limitation in OCT, in Ref. [4] a numerical processing technique was proposed, based on synthetic aperture approach and inverse scattering analysis. It was shown to allow sharp sample imaging not only within the original depth of field, but also in defocused regions [4,5]. However, in Ref. [4,5] the effects of the refractive indices of upper sample layers on imaging its inner structure were not taken into account. Meanwhile, in a number of works [6-10] it has been shown for both confocal and full-field arrangements, that refractive index of the sample layers affects differently the location of temporal and angular spectrum gates. Mutual shift of these gates due to the mismatch of refractive index in sample and reference arms of an interferometer, leads to fading of the coherence signal. This effect limits applicability of the technique, described in Ref. [4,5], to imaging samples with uniform refractive index, equal to that of the immersion.

In Ref. [6,9,11,12] it has been shown, that this effect of coherence signal fading due to refractive index mismatch can be overcome in confocal and full-field arrangements by mechanical shifting of the temporal and angular spectrum gates. Along with sharp coherence gated imaging, it was shown to allow determination of both geometrical thickness and refractive index of the sample layers. However, the need for mechanical scanning makes these techniques time consuming and limit their applicability to in vivo imaging.

Recently, we developed a theoretical model of interference microscopy imaging to provide analysis of the imaging process of different types of samples in different types of interference microscopes [13-15]. Basing on this theory, we propose in the current paper a numerical processing technique, which is intended to overcome the problems of coherence gate fading in confocal OCM imaging and allow fast three-dimensional imaging of samples, which may consist of layers with different refractive indices, unequal to the refractive index of immersion.
Image Formation in Confocal OCM

Let’s consider a confocal optical coherence microscope with signal acquisition in Fourier domain, which scans the sample transversal structure in transversal direction \((x_d, y_d)\). The coherence signal \(\Gamma(\omega; x_d, y_d)\) obtained during this scan from an interface, located under \(N\) sample layers with widths \(\{\Delta z_j\}\) and refractive indices \(\{n_j\}\), can be derived from the transmission functions, described in [14,15] to be

\[
\Gamma(\omega; x_d, y_d) = \int \int \hat{\Gamma}(\omega; k_x, k_y) \times \exp[i(k_x x_d + k_y y_d)]dk_xdk_y,
\]

where the spatio-temporal spectrum function \(\hat{\Gamma}(\omega; k_x, k_y)\) is determined by

\[
\hat{\Gamma}(\omega; k_x, k_y) = \Gamma_R(\omega) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \Gamma_{-1,j}(\omega) \times \hat{r}_S(\omega; k_x, k_y) \Xi(\omega; k_x, k_y),
\]

where \(\Gamma_R(\omega)\) is a coefficient, taking into account the properties of the reference arm and independent from the sample properties; \(\Gamma_{-1,j}(\omega)\) is a transmission coefficient from the \(j-1\) th layer to the \(j\) th; \(\hat{r}_S(\omega; k_x, k_y)\) is the spatial spectrum of the amplitude reflection coefficient distribution \(r_S(\omega; x_S, y_S)\) of the interface of interest;

\[
\Xi(\omega; k_x, k_y) = \int dx_Sdy_S \exp[i(k_x x_S + k_y y_S)]
\]

\[
\times \int A(\omega; x_3, y_3) \exp\left[ i k \sum_{j=0}^{N} \Delta z_j \left( n_j^2 - \frac{x_S^2 + y_S^2}{f'^2} \right) \right]
\]

\[
\times \exp[-i k(x_S x_3 + y_S y_3)/f']dx_3dy_3
\]

\[
\times \int J_0(\omega; x_0, y_0) \exp\left[ i k \sum_{j=0}^{N} \Delta z_j \left( n_j^2 - \frac{x_0^2 + y_0^2}{f'^2} \right) \right]
\]

\[
\times \exp[-i k(x_0 x_3 + y_0 y_3)/f']dx_3dy_3,
\]

where \(A(\omega; x_3, y_3)\) is the aperture function of the microscope objectives; \(J_0(\omega; x_0, y_0)\) is the illumination field complex amplitude distribution in the objective aperture plane; \(k = \omega/c\) is the wavenumber; \(c\) is the light speed in vacuum; \(f\) and \(f'\) are the front and back focal lengths of the objectives; \(n_0 = n_{im}\) is the refractive index of immersion; \(\Delta z_0 = z_S - |f|\); \(z_S\) is the distance from the objective front principal plane to the sample surface.

It can be seen from Eq. (2) that the spatio-temporal spectrum of the acquired coherence signal is determined by the multiplication of the reflection coefficient spatial spectrum \(\hat{r}_S\) and the \(\Xi\) function. In other words, the \(\Xi\) function determines how accurately the coherence signal \(\Gamma\) represents the structure of the sample interface of interest. The \(\Xi\) function can be represented in the following way:

\[
\Xi(\omega; k_x, k_y) = \Xi_i(\omega) \Xi_a(\omega; k_x, k_y),
\]

where

\[
\Xi_i(\omega) = \exp\left[ 2i k \sum_{j=0}^{N} n_j \Delta z_j \right],
\]

\[
\Xi_a(\omega; k_x, k_y) = \Xi(a)(\omega; k_x, k_y)/\Xi_i(\omega),
\]

which in parabolic approximation becomes

\[
\Xi_a(\omega; k_x, k_y) = \int dx_3dy_3 \exp[i(k(x_S x_3 + k_y y_3)]
\]

\[
\times \int A(\omega; x_3, y_3) \exp\left[-i k \frac{x_S^2 + y_S^2}{2 f'^2} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \Delta z_j \right]
\]

\[
\times \exp[-i k(x_S x_3 + y_S y_3)/f']dx_3dy_3
\]

\[
\times \int J_0(\omega; x_0, y_0) \exp\left[-i k \frac{x_0^2 + y_0^2}{2 f'^2} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \Delta z_j \right]
\]

\[
\times \exp[-i k(x_0 x_3 + y_0 y_3)/f']dx_3dy_3,
\]

where \(A(\omega; x_3, y_3)\) is the aperture function of the microscope objectives; \(J_0(\omega; x_0, y_0)\) is the illumination field complex amplitude distribution in the objective aperture plane; \(k = \omega/c\) is the wavenumber; \(c\) is the light speed in vacuum; \(f\) and \(f'\) are the front and back focal lengths of the objectives; \(n_0 = n_{im}\) is the refractive index of immersion; \(\Delta z_0 = z_S - |f|\); \(z_S\) is the distance from the objective front principal plane to the sample surface.

The functions \(\Xi_i\) and \(\Xi_a\) determine the longitudinal coherence gating effects in a confocal OCM caused by the temporal and angular spectra of the optical field. For convenience these coherence gating effects can be regarded to as temporal and angular spectrum gates.

In conventional Fourier domain OCT/OCM the sample structure is reconstructed by simple Fourier transform of the \(\Gamma(\omega; x_d, y_d)\) function over the temporal spectrum \(\omega\). In this Fourier transform for longitudinal position \(\sum_{j=0}^{N} n_j \Delta z_j\), the phase modulation \(\Xi_i\) over \(\omega\) is eliminated and the image of the sample interface of interest is reconstructed. Meanwhile, this processing does not affect the \(\Xi_a\) function, which produces modulation effect over the spatial frequencies of the spatio-temporal spectrum \(\hat{\Gamma}(\omega; k_x, k_y)\). It means that conventional Fourier domain OCT/OCM processing, affects only the position of the temporal spectrum gate, but does not affect the position of the angular spectrum gate, which results in degradation of the OCT/OCM signal, if the sample interface is out of the objective focus.
Properties of the 3D Image and the Numerical Correction Procedure

For correct imaging of the sample interface of interest, the correction of the spatio-temporal spectrum $\Gamma(\omega;k_x,k_y)$ for both modulations $\Xi_t$ and $\Xi_a$ is necessary. For this sake, we need first to analyze the properties of the $\Xi_a$ function, which affects the position of the angular spectrum gate. Fig.1 presents the dependence of the $\Xi_a$ function on the transverse spatial coordinates, calibrated in NAs ($k_x$ or $k_y$, as for circular apertures and illuminations, $\Xi_a$ has a circular symmetry) and on the amount of defocus $\Delta z_0$ for the case when the sample is simply a surface.

It can be seen from Fig.1b, that the phase modulation of $\Xi_a(\omega;k_x,k_y)$ over $(k_x,k_y)$ intensifies with increase of the amount of defocus. This means blurring of the images of the defocused sample parts. In can also be noticed from Fig.1a, that the amplitude of $\Xi_a(\omega;k_x,k_y)$ decreases with increase of the amount of defocus. It means that without appropriate correction, the images of the defocused sample parts are not only blurred, but also less intensive.

For correction of these effects it is necessary to divide the acquired spatio-temporal spectrum $\tilde{\Gamma}(\omega;k_x,k_y)$ by the $\Xi_a(\omega;k_x,k_y)$ function, computed for the desirable focusing depth inside the sample. However, care should be taken with the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR): as the absolute value of $\Xi_a(\omega;k_x,k_y)$ decreases with increase of $(k_x,k_y)$ and of the defocus, the direct division of $\tilde{\Gamma}(\omega;k_x,k_y)$ by $\Xi_a(\omega;k_x,k_y)$ would lead to decreased SNR for big $(k_x,k_y)$ or large defocus.

To improve the OCM signal and avoid the problems with SNR, it is possible to perform only phase correction of $\tilde{\Gamma}(\omega;k_x,k_y)$, which means obtaining

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^*(\omega;k_x,k_y) = \tilde{\Gamma}(\omega;k_x,k_y) \times \Xi^*_a(\omega;k_x,k_y) / |\Xi_a(\omega;k_x,k_y)|,$$

(6)
function (PSF) of the OCM system in defocused regions. Further multiplication of $\tilde{F}'(\omega;k_x,k_y)$ by $\mathcal{E}_j(\omega)$ and integration over $(\omega;k_x,k_y)$ yields a correctly reconstructed image, with both temporal and angular spectrum gates located at the same desired depth inside investigated sample.

It should be mentioned, that the form of the refractive index manifestation is different for the temporal and angular spectrum gates, which can be clearly seen from comparison of Eqs. (5a) and (5c). For every layer, a numerical variation of the temporal and angular spectrum gates positions and obtaining best possible images provides two equations for determination of the quantities $\Delta \zeta_j$ and $n_j$. It means that this numerical processing technique provides the possibility of determining separately the values of $\{\Delta \zeta_j\}$ and $\{n_j\}$, which has analogy with the full-field swept-source interference microscopy processing, described in Ref. [16,17].

Effect of Numerical Correction on the Reconstructed 3D Images

For analysis of the effect of the numerical correction procedure on the reconstructed images in Fourier domain confocal OCM, we have performed numerical simulation of imaging a layered sample (Fig.3). The sample interface of interest is located under two layers with widths 20 µm and 25 µm and refractive indices 1.33 and 1.1 respectively; $NA = 0.3, n_{im} = 1$, the illumination field fills the whole objective aperture; central frequency of illumination $\omega_0 = 2.36 \times 10^{15}$ Hz (which corresponds to $\lambda_0 = 800$ nm), spectrum width $\Delta \omega = 3.53 \times 10^{14}$ Hz.

It can be seen from Fig.3a, that when only the temporal spectrum gate shifting is applied in accordance with the conventional OCT/OCM principle, the image of the defocused interface of interest is much blurred. The numerical correction procedure according to Eq. (6), allows for correct reconstruction of the image of this interface, without mechanical focusing on it (Fig.3b). It can also be seen from comparison of Fig.3d and Fig.3e, that the numerical correction procedure according to Eq. (6) leads not only to sharpening, but also to increase of SNR in the reconstructed image.

Conclusions

In this paper we propose a numerical processing technique, which allows for correction of the longitudinal coherence gating effects and reconstruction of three-dimensional image in Fourier domain OCM. This technique takes into account the refractive index of the outer sample.

Fig.3 Numerical simulation of imaging a layered sample in Fourier domain confocal OCM. (a)-(c) without noise; (d)-(f) in presence of noise. (a), (b), (d), (e) the interface of interest is defocused (sample surface is in focus); (c), (f) the interface of interest is in focus. (a), (d) only temporal spectrum gate shifting is applied according to the conventional OCT/OCM principle; (b), (e) both numerical processing according to Eq. (6) and temporal spectrum gate shifting are applied. The field size for all images is 154×154 µm².
layers on imaging its inner structure and provides the possibility of separate determination of the sample layers' thicknesses and refractive indices.
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