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## Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table S1. Likelihood with which a line is fixated in second- and third-pass reading (upper and lower half of the table, respectively). FLSD: Fisher Least Square Difference.

| 2 $^{\text {ND }}$ PASS | L.1 C-A | L.2 C-A | L.1 JUXTA | L.2 JUXTA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LINE 1 | 0.59 | 0.64 | 0.66 | 0.59 |
| LINE 2 | 0.78 | 0.73 | 0.74 | 0.65 |
| LINE 3 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.57 | 0.62 |

FLSD: . 10

| 3 $^{\text {RD }}$ PASS | L.1 C-A | L.2 C-A | L.1 JUXTA | L.2 JUXTA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LINE 1 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| LINE 2 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.44 |
| LINE 3 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.36 |

FLSD: . 10

Supplementary Table S2. Average rank in which a given line was entered in second- and third-pass reading (upper and lower half of the table, respectively). FLSD: Fischer Least Square Difference.

| $\mathbf{2}^{\text {ND }}$ PASS | L.1 C-A | L.2 C-A | L.1 JUXTA | L.2 JUXTA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LINE 1 | 1.56 | 1.59 | 1.48 | 1.44 |
| LINE 2 | 1.38 | 1.50 | 1.48 | 1.56 |
| LINE 3 | 2.35 | 2.45 | 2.45 | 2.59 |

FLSD: . 33

| $\mathbf{3}^{\text {RD }}$ PASS | L.1 C-A | L.2 C-A | L.1 JUXTA | L.2 JUXTA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LINE 1 | 1.47 | 1.81 | 1.52 | 1.18 |
| LINE 2 | 1.38 | 1.64 | 1.31 | 1.61 |
| LINE 3 | 1.94 | 2.02 | 2.16 | 1.95 |

FLSD: . 22

Supplementary Tables S3 and S4. Fixational dwell time (per word in ms) following progressive and regressive saccades, for each of the three lines, in first-pass (S3A, S3B) and second-pass reading (S4A, S4B) of the various lines, separately for each haiku type $x$ cut position condition. The numbers in square parentheses (i.e., []) give the likelihood with which a word in a given line is fixated following a progressive or a regressive saccade in first- and second-pass reading. Note that haiku, or lines, were included in this analysis only if they were read at least three times. (Because of this, the third-pass data are identical to those reported in Tables 4A and 4B of the manuscript).

Table S3A. First-pass dwell times (per word) following progressive saccades, Fisher Least Square Difference $=87 \mathrm{~ms}$ [likelihood of pro-fixations, Fisher Least Square Difference $=0.10$ ].

$$
\text { L. } 1 \text { C-A L. } 2 \text { C-A L. } 1 \text { JUXTA L. } 2 \text { JUXTA }
$$

| LINE 1 | $235[0.77]$ | $250[0.78]$ | $227[0.72]$ | $152[0.58]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LINE 2 | $256[0.60]$ | $135[0.64]$ | $157[0.53]$ | $166[0.59]$ |
| LINE 3 | $125[0.44]$ | $229[0.63]$ | $122[0.41]$ | $247[0.74]$ |

Three-way interaction line $x$ haiku type $x$ cut position, dwell times: $\mathrm{F}(2,20)=3.45, \mathrm{p}=.05, \mathrm{BF}=4.47$; likelihood: $\mathrm{F}(2,20)=4.24, \mathrm{p}<.05, \mathrm{BF}=1.4 \mathrm{e}+4$

Table S3B. First-pass dwell times (per word) following regressive saccades, Fisher Least Square Difference $=30 \mathrm{~ms}$ [likelihood of re-fixations, Fisher Least Square Difference $=0.07$ ].
L. 1 C-A L. 2 C-A L. 1 JUXTA L. 2 JUXTA

| LINE 1 | $44[0.18]$ | $13[0.07]$ | $61[0.19]$ | $36[0.18]$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LINE 2 | $82[0.32]$ | $55[0.17]$ | $77[0.24]$ | $69[0.30]$ |
| LINE 3 | $145[0.52]$ | $161[0.56]$ | $108[0.35]$ | $207[0.80]$ |

Three-way interaction line x haiku type x cut position, dwell times: $\mathrm{F}(2,20)=4.20$, $\mathrm{p}<.01$, $\mathrm{BF}=1.68 \mathrm{e}+33$; likelihood: $\mathrm{F}(2,20)=11.13, \mathrm{p}<.01, \mathrm{BF}=2.0 \mathrm{e}+35$

Table S4A. Second-pass dwell times (per word) following progressive saccades, Fisher Least Square Difference $=57 \mathrm{~ms}$ [likelihood of pro-fixations, Fisher Least Square Difference $=0.14$ ].

$$
\text { L. } 1 \text { C-A L. } 2 \text { C-A L. JUXTA L. } 2 \text { JUXTA }
$$

| LINE 1 | $90[0.29]$ | $66[0.28]$ | $84[0.27]$ | $49[0.15]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LINE 2 | $74[0.31]$ | $76[0.28]$ | $84[0.29]$ | $122[0.37]$ |
| LINE 3 | $75[0.27]$ | $176[0.54]$ | $89[0.26]$ | $145[0.59]$ |

Three-way interaction line x haiku type x cut position, dwell times: $\mathrm{F}(2,20)=1.09, \mathrm{p}=.35, \mathrm{BF}=106.58$ [two-way interaction line $x$ cut position: $\mathrm{F}(2,20)=18.73, \mathrm{p}<.01, \mathrm{BF}=1893.72$ ]; likelihood: $\mathrm{F}(2,20)=1.47, \mathrm{p}=.25, \mathrm{BF}=7.2 \mathrm{e}+9[\mathrm{~F}(2,20)=33.46, \mathrm{p}<.01, \mathrm{BF}=7.1 \mathrm{e}+10]$

Table S4B. Second-pass dwell times (per word) following regressive saccades, Fisher Least Square Difference $=38 \mathrm{~ms}$ [likelihood of re-fixations, Fisher Least Square Difference $=0.09$ ].
L. 1 C-A L. 2 C-A L. 1 JUXTA L. 2 JUXTA

| LINE 1 | $119[0.36]$ | $141[0.47]$ | $127[0.41]$ | $82[0.24]$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| LINE 2 | $46[0.19]$ | $48[0.19]$ | $61[0.21]$ | $64[0.24]$ |
| LINE 3 | $107[0.31]$ | $89[0.36]$ | $66[0.20]$ | $160[0.50]$ |

Three-way interaction line x haiku type x cut position, dwell times: $\mathrm{F}(2,20)=12.43, \mathrm{p}<.01$, $\mathrm{BF}=2.93 \mathrm{e}+12$; likelihood: $\mathrm{F}(2,20)=15.76, \mathrm{p}<.01, \mathrm{BF}=2.32 \mathrm{e}+12$

