



CONFIDENTIAL REPORT

Article title:

Evaluator's name: (to be anonymized when submitting the report)

Date of reception by the evaluator:

Date of submission to the journal:

A – Aspects to be assessed

The evaluator will consider the following aspects:

1. Originality
2. Scientific interest / relevance
3. Content
4. Formal aspects

Evaluations will always be forwarded to the respective author of the contribution via the Editorial Board. The aspects to be developed and/or corrected will be detailed with the utmost clarity and the relevant reasons.

1. Originality / innovation

Yes Improvable No

Comments (optional)

2. Scientific interest / relevance of the topic

Yes Improvable No

Comments (optional)

3. Content

The **title** adequately illustrates the content.

Yes Improvable No

Comments (optional)

The **abstracts** in English and Spanish correspond to texts of up to 25 lines in length, present the overall content of the work and provide information on the objectives, methodology and results.

Yes Improvable No

Comments (optional)

The **introduction** presents the purposes of the article adequately and puts the study in its proper scientific context.

Yes Improvable No

Comments (optional)

The **theoretical** framework provides relevant and adequate scientific support and is based on up-to-date bibliographical references.

Yes Improvable No

Comments (optional)



In the **methodological** section, the procedures, materials, corpus and methods are clearly and precisely described. Yes Improvable No

Comments (optional)

The **results** collect relevant, necessary and sufficient data Yes Improvable No

Comments (optional)

In the **discussion**, the data obtained are interpreted in relation to the established objectives and are related both to the proposed objectives and/or hypotheses and to the theoretical framework of the work. Yes Improvable No

Comments (optional)

The **conclusions** consist of a synthesis of the results and a reflection on the most relevant findings and possible applications and/or projections. Yes Improvable No

Comments (optional)

4. Formal aspects

	Yes	Improvable	No
Adequate extension	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Clarity of exposition	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Spelling, grammatical and textual correctness	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Inclusion of keywords	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Division of the article into sections appropriate to the content	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Compliance with the journal's rules of style (never influences the final evaluation).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments (optional)



B – Recommendations

- Publishable without modification
- Publishable with minor modifications, no further evaluation required
- Modify and re-submit for evaluation
- Rejectable for the following reason(s):
 - The results are not innovative
 - The results are very preliminary
 - The presentation is confusing
 - Lack of depth and theoretical mastery
 - Others

Comments (optional)

C – Concluding remarks

1. General remarks / weaknesses and strengths of the article

Add space as appropriate

2. Confidential recommendations and comments to the Editorial Board

Add space as appropriate